

# Critical Analysis of Rate Constants and Turnover Frequency in Nucleic Acid-Templated Reactions: Reaching Terminal Velocity


Dalu Chang, Eric Lindberg, and Nicolas Winssinger\*<sup>✉</sup>

Department of Organic Chemistry, NCCR Chemical Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Geneva, 30 quai Ernest-Ansermet, 1205 Geneva, Switzerland

**S** Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** Nucleic acid-templated reactions have attracted significant attention for nucleic acid sensing and imaging. The level of signal amplification obtained from templated reactions is a function of the template turnover, wherein the template acts as the catalyst. Herein, we report the application of a pyridinium linker that immolates upon photocatalytic reduction with a ruthenium complex to yield the fastest nucleic acid templated reaction reported to date. We show that the templated reaction turnover is limited by the duplex dissociation kinetics beyond probes longer than a 6-mer and proceeded fastest for a 5-mer PNA probe. Using a beacon architecture that masks the catalytic template, we show that this methodology can be used for nucleic acid sensing extending the analyte recognition beyond a 5-mer. The system proceeds with a catalytic efficiency of  $10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and achieves turnover frequency of  $>100 \text{ h}^{-1}$ .

Nucleic acid templated chemical reactions are promoted by the high effective concentrations achieved upon hybridization of probes to a template.<sup>1,2</sup> Although initial interest in nucleic acid templated reactions arose from its putative role in prebiotic chemistry,<sup>3</sup> this reaction format has more recently been harnessed to yield a fluorescent output as a means to sense and image nucleic acids,<sup>4–6</sup> uncage or synthesize bioactive molecules,<sup>7,8</sup> identify novel reaction by combinatorial reagent pairing<sup>9,10</sup> or more broadly to translate the instructions embedded into a DNA template into functional polymers and synthetic molecules.<sup>11,12</sup> These developments have been empowered by an expanding scope of nucleic acid templated chemistry.<sup>1,2,6</sup> The first generation of reactions yielded a ligation between the probes resulting in a product with increased affinity to the template and in product inhibition.<sup>13</sup> Subsequently, several bioorthogonal reactions that do not yield a ligation were developed using DNA or PNA probes (Figure 1).<sup>14–28</sup> The ability to achieve high signal amplification is limited by the turnover frequency of the template. Collectively, the pseudo first order rate for the reported templated reactions range from  $k_{\text{app}} = 10^{-4}$  to  $10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Figure 1) with the fastest reaction<sup>25</sup> reported to proceed at  $11.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . We have recently developed a reaction that makes use of a Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub> analog (Ru(bpy)<sub>2</sub>phen) to reduce photocatalytically an azide-based immolative linker.<sup>26,28</sup> The reaction was shown to work in live cells and vertebrates. The fact that the reaction is temporally controlled through light (irradiation at 455 nm) is



**Figure 1.** Schematic overview of templated reactions and apparent rate constant ( $k_{\text{app}}$ ) calculated from pseudo-first-order reactions.


appealing for in vivo applications and kinetic measurements, however, the rate of the reaction could not be directly assessed

Received: December 12, 2016

Published: January 18, 2017

because of the slow linker immolation ( $k_{\text{app}} \approx 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ).<sup>7</sup> Herein, we report a novel linker for templated Ru-catalyzed photoreduction that achieved the fastest rate reported to date for nucleic acid templated reactions.

On the basis of the slow immolation of the 4-azidobenzyl moiety used in previous studies, we sought a linker with more favorable kinetics. Inspired by the report that *N*-methylpicolinium carbamates undergo C–O bond fragmentation upon photoreduction<sup>29,30</sup> with Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>, we tested the viability of this linker for phenols (Figure 1, see Figure S-1 for detailed chemical structures and proposed catalytic cycle of photoreduction). Preliminary experiments showed that phenolic linkages were as efficiently cleaved in the reductive fragmentation as carbamate. This linker can thus be used to unmask a broad variety of fluorophores such as coumarins (phenolic ether linkage) or rhodamines (carbamate linkage). To facilitate kinetic analysis, we opted to investigate a reaction between complementary probes with the reduction of a PNA-pyridinium-coumarin conjugate (Py) using a complementary PNA-ruthenium conjugate (Ru) as shown in Figure 2. First, we




**Figure 2.** Calculation of  $k_{\text{app}}$  of templated reaction using 1:1 stoichiometry of Py and Ru probes at varying concentrations with 5 mM sodium ascorbate, LED 455 nm 1 W (Buffer: PBS 0.1M, pH 7.4, 0.05% tween).  $k_{\text{app}}$  was calculated from the reaction half-life. See Figure S-1 for detailed chemical structures.

calculated the rate of reaction using the half-life decomposition of a 1:1 Py: Ru mixture across a range of concentrations for different duplex lengths. The complementary 4-mer did not yield significant signal at a concentration up to 400 nM suggesting that the half-life of the duplex is too short relatively to the reduction reaction. The 5-mer afforded a reaction with a rate constant  $k = 0.069 \text{ s}^{-1}$  at saturation (concentration above 100 nM) and this rate further increased for the 6-mer and 7-mer ( $k = 0.115$  and  $0.138 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , respectively). However, no further gain was obtained by going to the 9-mer indicating that the half-life of the 7-mer duplex is sufficient for maximal reaction rate. Significantly, this reaction is 10 times faster than the fastest templated reaction reported to date ( $k_{\text{app}} = 0.0116 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ).<sup>25</sup> If the reaction is rate limiting in the templated reaction, the rate constant measured in these experiments should be equal to  $V_{\text{max}}$  ( $0.069$ ,  $0.1115$ ,  $0.138 \text{ s}^{-1}$  for the 5-, 6-, 7-mer,


respectively) while the concentration at half this rate should be equal to  $K_{\text{M}}$  (ca. 40, 15 and <10 nM for the 5-, 6-, 7-mer, respectively).

We next investigated the reaction with catalytic amounts of the PNA-ruthenium conjugates (10 nM) with varying concentrations of PNA-pyridinium-coumarin conjugates (Py), measuring the initial rate of reaction and plotting the measured rate ( $k_{\text{react}}$ ) against Py concentrations (Figure 3). Assuming




**Figure 3.**  $k_{\text{react}}$  of pyridinium reduction under different concentrations and different lengths of PNA at 25 °C: 10 nM PNA-Ru, 5 mM sodium ascorbate, LED 455 nm 1 W, Buffer: PBS 0.1 M, pH 7.4, 0.05% tween (polyoxyethylene). See Figure S-1 for detailed chemical structures.

Michaelis–Menten kinetics, the reaction with the 5-mer PNA nearly reached the expected  $V_{\text{max}}$  ( $0.045 \text{ s}^{-1}$  measured vs  $0.069 \text{ s}^{-1}$  expected) and  $K_{\text{M}}$  (146 nM) whereas the 6-, 7- and 9-mer afforded a notably slower  $V_{\text{max}}$  than anticipated based on the measured  $k_{\text{app}}$  (70-fold slower for the 7- and 9-mer). This discrepancy suggests that the rate limiting step in the reaction of probes longer than 5-mer is product dissociation. We measured the dissociation constant for the different PNA duplex by thermophoretic analysis,<sup>31</sup> wherein one strand is labeled with Cy3, finding  $K_{\text{D}}$  of 155 nM for the 5-mer, 22.4 nM for the 6-mer, 2.07 nM for the 7-mer (the 9-mer was below the detection threshold, Figure 4). We noted a fluorogenic effect of the Cy3 upon hybridization and used this fluorogenic effect to derive the rate of association of the 9-mer PNA from the half-life of association, finding a  $k_{\text{on}}$  of  $1.28 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . This value is consistent with literature values for the rate of association ( $k_{\text{on}}$ ) of DNA and LNA for short oligonucleotides.<sup>32,33</sup> Using the dissociation constant ( $K_{\text{D}}$ ) and association kinetics ( $k_{\text{on}}$ ), we calculated the dissociation kinetics ( $k_{\text{off}}$ , Figure 4). Based on the kinetic data measured for the  $k_{\text{app}}$ , the fact that the rate increased from the 5-mer to 7-mer and plateaued thereafter indicates that for PNAs longer than 7-mer  $k_{\text{app}} \gg k_{\text{off}}$ . The fact that the 5-mer's  $k_{\text{app}}$  is half of the 7-mer's  $k_{\text{app}}$  suggest that the  $k_{\text{app}}$  of the 5-mer is close to its  $k_{\text{off}}$ . This is highly consistent with the value measured (Figure 4). Templated reactions for PNAs longer than 5-mer are limited by the duplex dissociation kinetics and the  $V_{\text{max}}$  of reactions with the 6-, 7- and 9-mer are consistent with the duplex dissociation kinetics (Figure 4).



**Figure 4.** Measured  $K_D$ ,  $K_M$ ,  $k_{app}$ ,  $V_{max}$  and calculated  $k_{off}$  for the different PNAs (\* value below the instrument detection threshold);  $k_{off}$  are calculated based on  $K_D$  and  $k_{on}$ . See Figure S-1 for detailed chemical structures.


Based on the fact that the reaction of the 5-mer is not limited by strand exchange, it should be less sensitive to the presence of a competing hybridization than the 7-mer or 9-mer. To test this hypothesis, we performed the same kinetic experiment as in Figure 3 in the presence of 1 or 10 equiv of an unconjugated PNA strand that can compete for duplex formation with the Ru strand (Figure 5). In the case of the 5-mer PNA, 1 equiv of the



**Figure 5.** Kinetics of templated reactions in the presence of probes competing for Ru-probe hybridization. Experiments carried out at the following concentrations: Ru-probe (10 nM), Py-probe (1  $\mu$ M), competitor (0; 1  $\mu$ M or 10  $\mu$ M) with sodium ascorbate (5 mM), LED 455 nm 1 W, Buffer: PBS 0.1 M, pH 7.4, 0.05% tween (polyoxyethylene). See Figure S-1 for detailed chemical structures.

competitor reduced the rate of reaction by 25%, and 10 equiv of the competitor only slowed the rate by half. On the other hand, the rate of reaction for 9-mer PNA was halved by 1 equiv of competitor. The fact that the reaction of the 7-mer Py with 9-mer Ru and 1 equiv of 9-mer still proceeded concurs the fact that the rate of reaction is faster than the rate of dissociation.

Taken together, the data points to the fact that performing templated reactions with short probes is desirable to maximize  $k_{cat}$  and alleviate product inhibition. However, a 5-mer probe is clearly too short for meaningful sequence discrimination of genomic nucleic acids. Nonetheless, we reasoned that this optimized reaction format could be used in a beacon architecture wherein recognition of a longer target sequence would open the beacon and reveal the template for catalysis (Figure 6). To bypass interference of the templating stretch or the Py-probe interacting with other genomic DNA, we made use of chiral  $\gamma$ -PNA wherein the *L* stereochemistry recognize DNA or RNA while the *D* does not.<sup>34,35</sup> Thus, the molecular beacon was built with an *L* stereochemistry 8-mer, followed by an achiral 8-mer for the recognition part, attached to a 5-mer with *D* stereochemistry complementary to the achiral stretch



**Figure 6.** (a) Beacon structure with masked catalytic sequence (Red denotes *L*- $\gamma$ -PNA; Black denotes achiral PNA; Blue denotes *D*- $\gamma$ -PNA). (b) Kinetics of hairpin-Ru reaction at 25 °C: 100 nM hairpin-Ru, 100 nM 5-mer-PNA-Coumarin, 100 nM miR21 DNA or 100 nM mismatched (MM) DNA, 5 mM sodium ascorbate, LED 455 nm 1 W, Buffer: PBS 0.1 M, pH 7.4, 0.05% tween (polyoxyethylene). (c) Turnover frequency for reaction performed with DNA analyte (1 nM), hairpin beacon (1 nM), Py probe (1  $\mu$ M) at 25 °C under nitrogen atmosphere, Buffer: PBS 0.1 M, pH 7.4, 0.05% tween (polyoxyethylene).

for the template stretch. In the presence of the template (DNA sequence corresponding to MiR21), the beacon is opened and a templated reaction is observed strictly for the perfect match DNA and matching chirality of template and Py probe. However, a target DNA sequence that does not cover the nucleobase on the loop of the hairpin yielded a low  $K_M$  in the templated reaction ( $K_M = 700 \mu$ M), suggesting that the equilibrium toward the open beacon is unfavorable. Using the same DNA template with GG complementary to the CC nucleobase in the hairpin loop afforded a  $K_M < 200$  nM, thus yielding a  $V_{max}$  of 0.04 s<sup>-1</sup> at 1  $\mu$ M of Py concentration. The fact that this rate is the same as the 5-mer Ru:5-mer Py indicates that the beacon is fully open in the latter case. Applying this reaction format allowed the detection of a DNA probe with a TOF of 102 h<sup>-1</sup> using 0.1% template loading.

In conclusion, we have developed a new templated reaction with a pyridinium linker that is photocatalytically reduced with a ruthenium complex. This reaction reaches the rate of notable enzymes (chymotrypsin:  $k_{cat} = 0.14$  s<sup>-1</sup>). The catalytic efficiency of the systems ( $k_{cat}/K_M$ ) is 10<sup>5</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (chymotrypsin: 9.3 M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; carbonic anhydrase: 10<sup>7</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). We provide a detailed kinetic analysis of the catalytic cycle and demonstrate that the reaction with PNA longer than 5-mer are limited by the exchange of reagents on the template, thus templated reactions cannot proceed faster, irrespective of

the chemistry performed. However, this fast templated reaction can be applied to the sequence specific detection of longer target sequences by embedding the template into a beacon architecture that is opened in the presence of the analyte.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### 📄 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b12764.

Supplementary figures, experimental protocols, synthetic procedures and compound characterization (PDF)

## ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

### Corresponding Author

\*nicolas.winssinger@unige.ch.

### ORCID

Nicolas Winssinger: 0000-0003-1636-7766

### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the SNSF (grant: 200020\_157106) and the NCCR Chemical Biology for financial support.

## ■ REFERENCES

- (1) Li, X. Y.; Liu, D. R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 4848–4870.
- (2) Gorska, K.; Winssinger, N. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 6820–6843.
- (3) Orgel, L. E. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **2004**, *39*, 99–123.
- (4) Silverman, A. P.; Kool, E. T. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 3775–3789.
- (5) Shibata, A.; Abe, H.; Ito, Y. *Molecules* **2012**, *17*, 2446–2463.
- (6) Percivalle, C.; Bartolo, J. F.; Ladame, S. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2013**, *11*, 16–26.
- (7) Gorska, K.; Manicardi, A.; Barluenga, S.; Winssinger, N. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 4364–4366.
- (8) Erben, A.; Grossmann, T. N.; Seitz, O. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 2828–2832.
- (9) Kanan, M. W.; Rozenman, M. M.; Sakurai, K.; Snyder, T. M.; Liu, D. R. *Nature* **2004**, *431*, 545–549.
- (10) Chen, Y.; Kamlet, A. S.; Steinman, J. B.; Liu, D. R. *Nat. Chem.* **2011**, *3*, 146–153.
- (11) Tse, B. N.; Snyder, T. M.; Shen, Y.; Liu, D. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 15611–15626.
- (12) Niu, J.; Hili, R.; Liu, D. R. *Nat. Chem.* **2013**, *5*, 282–292.
- (13) Grossmann, T. N.; Strohbach, A.; Seitz, O. *ChemBioChem* **2008**, *9*, 2185–2192.
- (14) Grossmann, T. N.; Seitz, O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 15596–15597.
- (15) Pianowski, Z. L.; Winssinger, N. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 3820–3822.
- (16) Abe, H.; Wang, J.; Furukawa, K.; Oki, K.; Uda, M.; Tsuneda, S.; Ito, Y. *Bioconjugate Chem.* **2008**, *19*, 1219–1226.
- (17) Franzini, R. M.; Kool, E. T. *ChemBioChem* **2008**, *9*, 2981–2988.
- (18) Franzini, R. M.; Kool, E. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 16021–16023.
- (19) Furukawa, K.; Abe, H.; Hibino, K.; Sako, Y.; Tsuneda, S.; Ito, Y. *Bioconjugate Chem.* **2009**, *20*, 1026–1036.
- (20) Pianowski, Z.; Gorska, K.; Oswald, L.; Merten, C. A.; Winssinger, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 6492–6497.
- (21) Shibata, A.; Abe, H.; Ito, M.; Kondo, Y.; Shimizu, S.; Aikawa, K.; Ito, Y. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 6586–6588.
- (22) Gorska, K.; Keklikoglou, I.; Tschulena, U.; Winssinger, N. *Chem. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 1969–1975.
- (23) Rothlingshofer, M.; Gorska, K.; Winssinger, N. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 482–485.

(24) Michaelis, J.; Maruyama, A.; Seitz, O. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 618–620.

(25) Shibata, A.; Uzawa, T.; Nakashima, Y.; Ito, M.; Nakano, Y.; Shuto, S.; Ito, Y.; Abe, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 14172–14178.

(26) Sadhu, K. K.; Winssinger, N. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 8182–8189.

(27) Wu, H. X.; Alexander, S. C.; Jin, S. J.; Devaraj, N. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 11429–11432.

(28) Holtzer, L.; Oleinich, I.; Anzola, M.; Lindberg, E.; Sadhu, K. K.; Gonzalez-Gaitan, M.; Winssinger, N. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2016**, *2*, 394–400.

(29) Edson, J. B.; Spencer, L. P.; Boncella, J. M. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 6156–6159.

(30) Borak, J. B.; Falvey, D. E. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 3894–3899.

(31) Jerabek-Willemsen, M.; Andre, T.; Wanner, R.; Roth, H. M.; Dühr, S.; Baaske, P.; Breitsprecher, D. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2014**, *1077*, 101–113.

(32) Christensen, U.; Jacobsen, N.; Rajwanshi, V. K.; Wengel, J.; Koch, T. *Biochem. J.* **2001**, *354*, 481–484.

(33) Howorka, S.; Movileanu, L.; Braha, O.; Bayley, H. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2001**, *98*, 12996–13001.

(34) Dragulescu-Andrasi, A.; Rapireddy, S.; Frezza, B. M.; Gayathri, C.; Gil, R. R.; Ly, D. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 10258–10267.

(35) Sacui, J.; Hsieh, W. C.; Manna, A.; Sahu, B.; Ly, D. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 8603–8610.